

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,678	03/09/2001	Neil McLellan	50626.17	50626.17 6363	
35510	7590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
KEATING & BENNETT, LLP			TRAN, MINH LOAN		
10400 EATON SUITE 312	N PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRFAX, V	A 22030		2826		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/802,678	MCLELLAN ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Minh-Loan T. Tran	2826			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondenc address Period f r Reply					
THE in Extermination of the American THE in MC in MC in MC in The American THE in MC in The American THE in The Internation of the American THE in The Internation of the Internatio	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 9-19 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9 and 11-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 10,15-19 and 21 is/are objected to.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 November 2004</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	ıt(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/802,678

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings were received on 11/29/2004. These drawings are acceptable.
- 2. The Terminal Disclaimer filed on 11/29/2004 has been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 9, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McClellan (6,545,347).

With regard to claim 9, figures 1 and 2 of McClellan discloses a leadless plastic chip carrier comprising a semiconductor die 201 mounted to a ground plane 103; at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n circumscribing the ground plane 103; a power/ground ring 104 intermediate the at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n and the ground plane 103; a plurality of wire bonds 203-1 to 203-m connecting various ones of the semiconductor die 201, the power/ground ring 104 and the row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n; an overmold 202 covering the semiconductor die 201, the wire bonds 203-1 to 203-m, the at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n and the

Application/Control Number: 09/802,678

Art Unit: 2826

ground plane 103, such that at least one surface of the at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n and at least one surface of the ground plane 103 are exposed.

With regard to claim 12, figures 1 and 2 of McClellan show the at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n is rectangular.

With regard to claim 13, figures 1 and 2 of McClellan show the at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n is recessed into the overmold 202 to form a plurality of etch down cavities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 14 ard rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over McClellan (6,545,347).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 09/802,678

Art Unit: 2826

1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

With regard to claim 11, figures 1 and 2 of McClellan do not show the at least one row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n is round. Although McClellan does not teach exact the shape of the contact pads as that claimed by Applicant, the shape differences are considered obvious design choices and are not patentable unless unobvious or unexpected results are obtained from these changes. It appears that these changes produce no functional differences and therefore would have been obvious. Note *In re* Leshin, 125 USPQ 416, *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Circ. 1990).

With regard to claim 14, figures 1 and 2 of McClellan do not show the solder balls connected to the row of contact pads 102-1 to 102-n. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the solder balls that are connected to the row of contact pads of McClellan in order to electrically connect the leadless chip carrier to the printed circuit board.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,678 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10, 15-19 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-19 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Loan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 09/802,678 Page 6

Art Unit: 2826

272-1922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM-5:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MIt 01/2005 Minh-Loan T. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826